<u>The Segues/Transitions (the things that are always true)</u>

1. I have an Unsigned Plea of Guilty which I intend to sign (My intention is to plead guilty, I require clarifications so that I can make an informed and voluntary plea.)

2. The Gun in the Room

3. The Prosecution's Failure

and a 4th... Go back and rely upon what they have already admitted to!

[IE: Do I have the right to a Fair and Meaningful hearing?
If yes, then when the judge says anything that treats you blatantly unfairly or wastes your time, then go back and rely upon what he has already admitted that you have a right to.

Do I have the right to know the Cause and Nature of the Charges and Proceedings against me? Because he is going to try to keep you from being informed.
You are creating a transcript that makes the judge look like a bully, but one that makes you look like a very reasonable, peaceful person.

They pretend you have been informed, when, in fact, you have not. Segue/Transition number 4 is Referring back to what the judge has already answered and reminding the judge of what he has admitted previously will make the judge look incompetent.]

<u>COURTROOM "SCRIPT"/CLARIFICATIONS</u>

Number 1 Clarification:

Judge, My intention is to plead guilty here today, in fact, I've got an Unsigned Plea of Guilty in my hand, I just have a few clarifications for the prosecutor before I can make an informed and voluntary plea of guilty, pay the fine and leave -

<u>Do I have a right to a Fair and Meaningful hearing today?</u>

Number 2 Clarification:

<u>Do I have the right to be informed of the Cause and Nature of the charges and proceedings against me?</u>

[*Judge might say you have been informed*.
--**So being told the title of the charges is the same as informing me of the cause of the charge?**--]

Number 3 Clarification:

Am I presumed innocent of the charges against me?

Number 4 Clarification:

Am I presumed innocent every element of the charges against me?

Number 5 Clarification:

Is Jurisdiction one of those elements?

Number 6 Clarification:

Am I presumed innocent of the element of Jurisdiction?

Number 7 Clarification:

Has the prosecutor provided any Factual evidence regarding the element of jurisdiction as of yet, Yes or No?

Ask for Dismissal:

I motion that all charges then be dismissed until such a time as the prosecutor can file a proper case, and presume this unopposed motion will be granted. Thank you.

Something Shorter:
I motion the court to dismiss all charges until such a time as the prosecutor can file a proper case, and I presume any unopposed motion will be granted. Thank you.

Is there any factual evidence of Mr prosecutor objecting to this motion? If I had a copy of such evidence, I would have everything I need to plead guilty right now, pay the fine, and leave with my freedom. As part of a fair and meaningful hearing, do I have a right to know whether or not a document being used to file accusations against me has been provided to the court at all, Yes or No?

Things That May, Or Could Be Said

- Could this court proceed against me if the alleged plaintiff lacked standing to complain against me? Yes or no.

- Would I have to enter a plea if there was no cause of action presented? Yes or no.

- Would I have to enter a plea if there was no crime presented? Yes or no.

- Would I have to enter a plea if the alleged plaintiff lacks standing to complain against me? Yes or no.
- Would I have to enter a plea if there is no jurisdiction over me? Yes or no.
- Is jurisdiction an element of this alleged crime? Yes or no.
- Does this ticket present a justiciable case or controversy? Yes or no.
- 1.1. Would I have to enter a plea if a justiciable case or controversy has not been presented? Yes or no.
- 1.2. Do you agree with this United States Supreme Court ruling: “courts only adjudicate justiciable controversies.” United States v. ICC, 337 U.S. 426, 430 (1949)?
- 1.3. Does that apply to you and this court? Yes or no.
- 1.4. This court only adjudicates justiciable controversies? Yes or no.
- 1.5. Does a justiciable case or controversy require the violation of a legal right? Yes or no.
- Is there evidence of a complaining party? A responsive answer is only yes or no.
    - I did not ask if there was a complaining party or who you think it is. I asked, is there evidence of a complaining party? Yes or no?

        - If yes: O.K., what is that evidence and who gave it to you?

          Apparently, you think there is evidence here. Are you concealing that evidence from me? Yes or no.

- [If the judge enters a plea of not guilty, usually accusing me of refusing to plea]
    - "Sir, on whose behalf did you enter that plea?
    - "Do you represent me? Yes or no.
    - "How can you enter a plea on my behalf and not be representing me?
    - ["Then on whose behalf was the plea entered?]

      [I may ask here]
    - Sir, who do you represent here?
    - On who's behalf are you appearing here today?

Threats of Violence: The Gun in the Room

If threatened with **contempt**,
don't say anything until the judge asks you to speak.
Then you ask Is it safe to speak?

Then: "**well sir, where you said I had a right to a fair hearing, and it seems like I can't ask any clarifications without being threatened with jail. I'd like to leave here with my freedom, so I think it might be safer if I just do whatever you want, so I'll just take it up with another judge.**" Then say nothing more.

Using the 4th segue:

"**Earlier you admitted that I had the Right to be Informed,**
that I had the right to a Meaningful Hearing,
so I should be able to make progress here, according to your own words. At what point did I lose that right?
(If the judge is going to delay and further impose upon you what has been accomplished?)

I am seeking to be informed:

"Earlier you admitted that I have the right to be informed of the charges here today.
I am seeking to be informed of this Element of Jurisdiction.

You admitted that I am presumed innocent of these charges,
and I am simply asking to clarify, if the prosecutor has provided this documentation, as of yet,
Yes or No?

Catchall for lawyer stuff:

"**I may want to retain legal counsel, I'm not sure yet, because I'm not informed of these charges, but I may. If you ask me that at the end of this hearing, I may have a solid answer, I just don't right as of this moment. I'd still like to continue with these quick clarifications for the prosecutor.**." roll right into the next clarification.

If the judge doesn't bring it up, don't bother with it. The lawyer issue only benefits them and not you.

The burden of proof is only fulfilled at trial. It is a determination process only at trial.
We are only asking if evidence has been provided or not. Don't ask about the burden of proof.